

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:

ARK LABORATORY, LLC,

Debtor

---

Case: 23-43403-MLO

Chapter 11

Hon. Maria L. Oxholm

**STIPULATION CONSENTING TO ENTRY OF ORDER  
ALLOWING PAYMENT OF DEFENSE COSTS AND FEES  
UNDER SCOTTSDALE INDEMNITY COMPANY BUSINESS  
AND MANAGEMENT INDEMNIFICATION POLICY**

Zousmer Law Group PLC and Saretsky Hart Michaels + Gould PC (“Saretsky”), co-defense counsel for James A. Grossi (“Grossi”), Brian Tierney, Nameer Kiminaia (“Kiminaia”), and Hamid Sattar, each solely in their individual capacity, in the adversary proceeding pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, entitled *Paul R. Hage, solely in his capacity as the Liquidating Trustee of the Ark Laboratory Trust v. James A. Grossi, et al.*, Adversary Proceeding No. 23-23-04496-MLO (the “Adversary Proceeding”), Saretsky, counsel to Grossi, Kiminaia and Liam Dillon in lawsuit pending in the Oakland County Circuit Court, State of Michigan, entitled *iOpen World Inc. v. James Collins, Salman Ali Muqsood, James Grossi, Liam Dillon, Norman Kiminaia and James Berg*, Case No. 23-201762-CZ (the “Oakland County Litigation”) and Taft Stettinius & Hollister, LLP, counsel for Paul R. Hage, solely in his capacity as

the Liquidating Trustee for the Ark Laboratory Trust, stipulate to the entry of the Order attached as **Exhibit A** hereto.

Dated: April 24, 2024

**STIPULATED FOR ENTRY:**

**ZOUSMER LAW GROUP PLC**

By: /s/ Michael I. Zousmer  
Michael I. Zousmer (P47190)  
4190 Telegraph Road, Suite 3000  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48302  
(248) 351-0099  
[michael@zlawplc.com](mailto:michael@zlawplc.com)

-and-

**SARETSKY HART MICHAELS + GOULD PC**

By: Brian Witus  
Gary M. Saretsky (P31708)  
Brian Witus (P53062)  
Zachary Chuey (P83966)  
995 South Eton  
Birmingham, Michigan 48009  
(248) 502-3300  
[bwitus@saretsky.com](mailto:bwitus@saretsky.com)

*Co-Counsel for James A. Grossi, Brian Tierney, Nameer Kiminaia and Hamid Sattar in the Adversary Proceeding*

*Counsel for James A. Grossi, Nameer Kiminaia and Liam Dillon in the Oakland County Litigation*

**\*\*\*(ADDITIONAL SIGNATURE ON FOLLOWING PAGE)\*\*\***

**TAFT STETTINIUS & HOLLISTER, LLP**

By: /s/ Judith Greenstone Miller

Judith Greenstone Miller (P29208)

Kim Ross Clayson (P69804)

27777 Franklin Road, Suite 2500

Southfield, Michigan 48034

(248) 351-3000

[jgmiller@taftlaw.com](mailto:jgmiller@taftlaw.com)

[kclayson@taftlaw.com](mailto:kclayson@taftlaw.com)

-and-

David H. Wallace (Ohio Bar No. 0037210)

200 Public Square #3500

Cleveland, Ohio 44114

(216) 706-3898

[dwallace@taftlaw.com](mailto:dwallace@taftlaw.com)

*Counsel for Paul R. Hage, solely in his capacity  
as the Liquidating Trustee of the Ark Laboratory Trust*

## **EXHIBIT A**

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:

ARK LABORATORY, LLC,

Debtor

---

Case: 23-43403-MLO

Chapter 11

Hon. Maria L. Oxholm

**ORDER ALLOWING PAYMENT OF DEFENSE COSTS  
AND FEES UNDER SCOTTSDALE INDEMNITY COMPANY BUSINESS  
AND MANAGEMENT INDEMNIFICATION POLICY**

Zousmer Law Group PLC (“Zousmer”) and Saretsky Hart Michaels + Gould PC (“Saretsky”), as co-counsel for James A. Grossi (“Grossi”), Brian Tierney (“Tierney”), Nameer Kiminaia (“Kiminaia”) and Hamid Sattar (“Sattar” and collectively with Grossi, Tierney and Kiminaia, the “Individual Defendants”), each solely in their individual capacities, are seeking payment of their attorneys’ fees and costs (collectively, “Defense Costs”) incurred and to be incurred in defending the Individual Defendants in the Adversary Proceeding<sup>1</sup> commenced by Paul R. Hage, solely in his capacity as the Liquidating Trustee of the Ark Laboratory Trust (the “Trustee”), pursuant to a Business and Management Indemnity Policy issued by Scottsdale Indemnity Company (“Scottsdale”) to the Debtor prior to the

---

<sup>1</sup> All capitalized terms shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Stipulation Allowing Payment of Defense Costs and Fees Under the Scottsdale Indemnity Company Business and Management Indemnification Policy (“Stipulation”) unless otherwise provided herein.

commencement of the chapter 11 case, Policy No. EK13461050 (the “Insurance Policy”).

In addition, Saretsky is seeking the payment of its Defense Costs incurred and to be incurred in defending Grossi, Kiminaia and Liam Dillon (“Dillon” and, collectively with Grossi and Kiminaia, the “Oakland County Defendants” and, collectively with the Trustee and the Individual Defendants, the “Parties”) in the Oakland County Litigation (collectively with the Adversary Proceeding, the “Pending Litigations”).

The Parties have agreed to the interim payment of Defense Costs for the Pending Litigations under the Insurance Policy by Scottsdale pursuant to provisions and subject to the reservations delineated below; the Court having read same and being otherwise fully and duly advised in this matter;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Scottsdale is hereby authorized to make payments to Zousmer and Saretsky (collectively “Defense Counsel”) in the aggregate amount of up to and no more than Two Hundred and Thirty Thousand and 00/100 Dollars (\$230,000.00) (the “Interim Cap”) to cover their Defense Costs incurred in the Pending Litigations, subject first to a review of their invoices on a monthly basis for reasonableness by Scottsdale for fees and costs incurred up to and through August 15, 2024 (the “Interim Period”). Defense Counsel shall determine how to allocate the Interim Cap

between the Adversary Proceeding and the Oakland County Litigation during the Interim Period.

2. However, Zousmer and Saretsky shall advise the Trustee and his counsel on a monthly basis the amount of Defense Costs that have been approved and authorized to be paid to Zousmer and Saretsky on each of the Pending Litigations.

3. Defense Counsel shall record their time on a task-based basis to the 1/10 of the hour for both of the Pending Litigations with respect to each time entry for which they are seeking payment from Scottsdale.

4. This proposal is without prejudice for the Trustee to request that the Bankruptcy Court impose any additional protocols and/or limitations regarding payment of Defense Costs incurred after August 15, 2024 that he deems warranted, including, but not limited to, the right to review Defense Costs thereafter for reasonableness.

5. If the Adversary Proceeding does not settle at the mediation (that is currently scheduled to take place on August 14 and 15, 2024), Defense Counsel may negotiate another stipulated order and/or may file a motion on notice and opportunity pursuant to Federal Rule 9014 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure for payment of Defense Costs for both the Adversary Proceeding and the Oakland County Litigation, as set forth above.

6. Scottsdale's payment of Defense Costs pursuant to this Order is deemed not to be in violation of section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code or any injunction entered by the Court in the above-captioned case.

7. The Individual Defendants, the Oakland County Defendants and Scottsdale continue to reserve their rights regarding whether the Insurance Policy and/or the insurance proceeds for Defense Costs under the Insurance Policy constitute assets of the Bankruptcy Estate. The Stipulation and this Order shall not be construed as an admission that the Insurance Policy and/or the proceeds of the Insurance Policy are or are not assets of the Bankruptcy Estate.

8. The payment of Defense Costs pursuant to this Order is without prejudice to any rights Scottsdale may have under the Insurance Policy.

9. The Court retains jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation of this Order.